

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vugnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/943,880		08/30/2001	Michael B. Ball	2769.6US (95-1118.5)	2391	
24247	7590	09/05/2003				
TRASK BRITT				EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX SALT LAI	2550 KE CITY, U	JT 84110		CHAMBLISS, ALONZO		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2827		
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/					
09/943,880 BALL, MIC	HAEL	В.	Ille					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit								
Alonzo Chambliss 2827								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence of the cover sheet with the cover sheet with the correspondence of the cover sheet with the c	ence ad	dress	:					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be conside. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce an earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	e of this o 133).		ication.					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> .								
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
· <u> </u>	00 to t	m.	rita io					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-11</u> is/are rejected.								
Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dispected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	<u> </u>							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this N application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	ational	Stage	е					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a pro-	visions	ıl annı	ication)					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		ii appi	ication).					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 Attachment(s)	1.							
)nn=- * *	·/a\						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								

Application/Control Number: 09/943,880

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/17/03 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The examiner did not find a request for approval of drawing corrections for drawing Fig. 7 and a corrected formal drawing for drawing Fig. 7 along with this amendment.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain g patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894)', In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 1 14 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957)*, and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Art Unit: 2827

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1-4 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 2 and 6 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,337,227. This is a double patenting rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited primarily to show the process of the instant invention.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (703) 306-9143. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956

Alonzo Chambliss Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2827

AC/August 30, 2003